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Photon-Beam Dose Calculation Algorithms

- Photon calculation algorithms consist of the mathematical equations that are used to compute dose at any point within a volume irradiated by a photon beam.
- Algorithms model the transport of radiation energy within the medium based on the physical interaction processes occurring during irradiation.
  - It is necessary to understand their capabilities and recognize their limitations.
Photon-Beam Dose Calculations

- Calculation Methods: Separation of Primary and Scatter
- Convolution Algorithm - General Form
- Scatter Integration Algorithm - General Form
  - Scatter Integration - Clarkson Integration
Primary and Scatter: Concepts

• Dose can be thought of as the energy deposited by electrons produced in photon interactions
• Dose from “Primary” Interactions
  - Dose produced in interactions by photons originating in the treatment unit itself
  - No (or minimal) scatter component
• Dose from “Scatter” Interactions
  - Dose from scattered photons produced at other points within the irradiated volume
Primary and Scatter: Concepts

• The dose at point “r’” can be thought of consisting of energy depositions from two classes of events:
  - Interactions from “primary” photons
  - Interactions from scatter photons
Dose from Primary Interactions

- Photons originating in the treatment unit interact in the medium producing electrons that deposit their energy locally (at $r$):
  - Compute fluence
  - Correct for distance and attenuation
  - Compute energy transfer
Primary Interactions

• Dose from **primary** source (treatment machine)
  - Fluence (photons per unit area X photon’s energy)
    • Effect of beam modifiers (collimation, attenuators, etc.) is taken into consideration:
      \[\Psi_0(E) = \int_E \phi_0(E) \times E \times d(E)\]
      \[\Psi_d(E) = \Psi_0(E) \times e^{-\mu(d-dm)}\]
  - Primary dose (no scatter contribution):
    \[D_d = \Psi_d(E) \times (\mu_{en}/\rho)(E)\]
Dose from Scatter Interactions

- Scattered radiation (secondary) that is produced at other sites ($r'$) in the irradiated volume deposit energy at $r$:
  - Compute the fraction of the energy deposited at some point $r'$ that is made available to $r$
  - Repeat for all points $r'$ and sum
Scatter Interactions

• Dose from Secondary (Scatter) Radiation:
  - The magnitude of the dose from scattered radiation at some given point can be quantified in a few ways:
    • Convolution Kernels
      \[ K(r) = \int K(r' \rightarrow r) \]
    • Scatter-Air or Scatter-Maximum Ratios (SARs, SMRs)
      \[ TAR(r, d) = TAR(0, d) + \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) \sum_{i=1}^{n} SAR(r_i, d) \]
  - The dose from scatter is then added to the dose from primary to obtain the total
Convolution Algorithm - General Form

\[ D(r) = \int_{r'} \frac{\mu}{\rho} (r') \times \Psi(r') \times K(r' \to r) \]

- The incident fluence, \( \Psi(r') \), is projected onto the CT representation, \( \frac{\mu}{\rho} (r') \), and is attenuated using a ray-tracing technique.
- The available energy is spatially distributed in accordance with the applicable energy deposition kernel \( K(r' \to r) \).
Primary Fluence - $\Psi(r')$

- The primary fluence $\Psi(r')$ is a description of the number and energy of primary photons that exist at the point $r'$
  - It is the in-air photon fluence that exits the head of the treatment unit, is moderated by beam modifiers, and is subsequently attenuated by the patient
  - It contains all primary radiation output, collimation, inverse-square, off-axis, and beam modifier corrections
Attenuation Coefficient - $\frac{\mu}{\rho}(r')$

- Energy is removed from the available primary fluence existing at $r'$ in proportion to the mass-energy attenuation coefficient, $\frac{\mu_{en}}{\rho}$, at $r'$
- The attenuation coefficient, $\frac{\mu_{en}}{\rho}$, is a function of the electron density corresponding to the CT number of the voxel at $r'$
TERMA - $T(r')$

- The product of $\Psi(r')$ and $\mu / \rho(r')$ is a quantity that represents the total radiation energy released per mass at the point $r'(\text{the "TERMA" at } r')$

$$T(r') = \frac{\mu}{\rho}(r') \Psi(r')$$

- It represents the total amount of radiation energy available at $r'$ for deposition
Convolution Kernel $K (r' \rightarrow r)$

- The dose-spread kernel, $K (r' \rightarrow r)$, represents the energy distribution from the primary interaction site throughout the volume.
  - Simply, $K (r' \rightarrow r)$ is the ratio of the energy deposited at $r$ to the total energy released at $r'$. 
Convolution - Summation

\[ D(r) = \int_{r'} \frac{\mu}{\rho} (r') \times \Psi(r') \times K(r' \rightarrow r) \]

- The TERMA, \( \Psi(r') \) \( \mu / \rho \) \( (r') \), available at all points \( r' \) is deposited at all points \( r \) as given by the energy deposition kernel \( K(r' \rightarrow r) \)
- The total dose at \( r \) is then the sum (\( \int \)) of the interactions occurring at all points \( r' \)
- This process is shown schematically as follows:
Convolution Geometry

\[ D(\vec{r}) = \sum \left\{ \frac{\mu}{\rho} (\vec{r}'_1) \Psi(\vec{r}'_1) K(\vec{r} - \vec{r}'_1) + \frac{\mu}{\rho} (\vec{r}'_2) \Psi(\vec{r}'_2) K(\vec{r} - \vec{r}'_2) + \ldots \right\} \]
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Scatter Integration Algorithm

- Group conventional dosimetry quantities into “primary” and “scatter” categories:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phantom-Scatter Dependent</th>
<th>Phantom-Scatter Independent</th>
<th>Possibly Dependent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PDD / TMR</td>
<td>Inverse-Square Transmission Factors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phantom Scatter</td>
<td>Collimator Scatter</td>
<td>Off-Axis Factors</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Phantom-scatter dependent quantities are those exhibiting a relatively strong field-size (in phantom) dependence:
  - \( PDD / TAR / TMR \)
  - Phantom Scatter \((S_p \text{ or } NPSF)\)
\[ D(f, r, d, x) = D_{\text{ref}} \times \text{OF}_{\text{pri}}(r) \times \text{ISF}(f + d) \times \text{OAF}(x, d) \times T(r) \times \]
\[ \text{OF}_{\text{scat}}(r) \times \left[ \text{TPR}(0, d) + \text{SPR}_{\text{avg}}(r, d) \right] \]

**Scatter contribution**

- Primary and scatter quantities are evaluated and computed separately, and then summed.
- The quantities \( \text{OF}_{\text{scat}}(r) \) and \( \text{SPR}_{\text{avg}}(r, d) \) represent scatter in a fashion analogous to the dose-spread kernel \( K(r) \).
Separation of Primary and Scatter: The “0X0” Field

- Determine “primary” beam effects from full-field data
  - Extrapolation from field-size dependent data:
    
    \[ y = 9E-05x^3 - 0.003x^2 + 0.0419x + 0.5282 \]
    
    \[ R^2 = 0.9999 \]

\[ r = \frac{S}{\sqrt{\pi}} \]
Clarkson Integration

- The Clarkson Integration is an application of Scatter Integration concepts
- It has been used, traditionally, to estimate the amount of scatter at any point in a field of irregular shape:
  - The field is divided into a series of “sectors” surrounding the point, each sector represented by a radius $r_i$
  - The scatter from each sector is determined and a total is obtained by summation
Clarkson Integration

- Field sectors are represented by equally-spaced radii from the calculation point to the edge of the field (either to the collimator jaw or to a block edge)
Clarkson Integration - Example

- Create a 4 MV X-Ray Depth 8 cm TMR Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Square Field</th>
<th>TMR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4×4</td>
<td>0.753</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6×6</td>
<td>0.785</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8×8</td>
<td>0.809</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10×10</td>
<td>0.823</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12×12</td>
<td>0.834</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15×15</td>
<td>0.843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20×20</td>
<td>0.856</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25×25</td>
<td>0.863</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Clarkson Integration - Example

- Extrapolate $TMR_{d=8\ cm}$ data to obtain $TMR(0,8)$

![Graph: 4 MV X-Ray TMRs - Depth 8 cm]

$TMR(0,8) = 0.640$
Clarkson Integration - Example

- Calculate and Tabulate $SMR_{d=8\,cm}$:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Radius</th>
<th>SMR (r,8)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2 cm</td>
<td>0.098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 cm</td>
<td>0.157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 cm</td>
<td>0.189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 cm</td>
<td>0.203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 cm</td>
<td>0.209</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$SMR (r,8) = (TMR (r,8) * S_p(r) / S_p(0)) - TMR (0,8)$

4 MV X-Ray Depth 8 cm SMRs

Field Radius (cm) vs. SMR Graph
Clarkson Integration - Example

- Determine the radial distances from the point of calculation to field edges at fixed angular intervals (10 - 20°) and determine SMR \((r,d_e)\)
Clarkson Integration - Results:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector #</th>
<th>Radius 1</th>
<th>SMR 1</th>
<th>Radius 2</th>
<th>SMR 2</th>
<th>Radius 3</th>
<th>SMR 3</th>
<th>Net SMR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.157</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>0.163</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>0.181</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>0.203</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>0.220</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>0.181</td>
<td>0.202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>0.220</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>0.181</td>
<td>0.201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>0.201</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>0.180</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>0.153</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>0.134</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>0.129</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>0.134</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>0.153</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>0.180</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>0.180</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>0.190</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>0.181</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>0.163</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.163</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Avg SMR: 0.174
Avg SMR: 0.171

TMR (0,8): 0.640
TMR (0,8): 0.640

TMR (r,8): 0.814
TMR (r,8): 0.811
Corrections for dose from Clarkson Integration

Bean Modifier Correction

\[ \text{WF} (d, W) = \frac{\text{Dose with Wedge} (d,W)}{\text{Dose without Wedge} (d,W)} \]

Similar attenuation to account for block attenuation

\[ \mu' (d, W) = - \frac{1}{x} \ln [\text{WF} (d, W)] \]

\[ \Phi = \Phi_0 e^{-\mu' x} \]

Contour Corrections

Effective attenuation method
Tissue-air or TPR or TMR ratio method
Isodose shift method
Contour Corrections

Effective attenuation method
\[ CF = \exp(-\mu x) \], where \( x \) is depth of missing tissue above the calculation point
\( \mu \) is linear attenuation coefficient of tissue for a given energy

Tissue-air or TPR or TMR ratio method

\[ CF = \frac{\text{TAR}(z-h, A_Q)}{\text{TAR}(z, A_Q)} \]

TPR or TMR can be used in place of TAR
Contour Corrections

Grid lines are drawn parallel to the beam CAX all across the field.

Isodose lines for a flat phantom is aligned with the central axis on the patient contour.

For each grid line, the overlaid isodose lines are shifted up or down by an amount of $k \times h$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Photon energy (MV)</th>
<th>$k$ (approximate)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{60}$Co–5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5–15</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15–30</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;30</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Corrections for tissue inhomogeneities

Presence of inhomogeneities in patients leads to
1. Changes in the absorption of primary beam
2. Changes in scatter photon distribution
3. Changes in secondary electron fluence

Changes depend upon the location of the point of interest relative to the inhomogeneity

In MVX beam Compton interaction dominates and the interaction cross-section depends on electron density of the media

Low energy x-rays, photoelectric effect can lead to higher dose to high Z-material like bone
1. An effective depth can be used for attenuation of the primary fluence
2. Near the interface, there may be loss of CPE
Corrections for tissue inhomogeneities

1. Tissue-air ratio method

\[
CF = \frac{TAR(z', r_d)}{TAR(z, r_d)}
\]

Where

\[
z' = z_1 + \rho_e z_2 + z_3 \quad z = z_1 + z_2 + z_3
\]

Does not account the position relative to inhomogeneity
Assumes infinity lateral extension

2. Batho Power law method

\[
CF = \frac{TAR(z_3, r_d)^{\rho_3 - \rho_2}}{TAR(z, r_d)^{1 - \rho_2}}
\]

Takes into account the position relative to inhomogeneity
Assumes infinity lateral extension
Corrections for tissue inhomogeneities

3. Equivalent Tissue-air ratio method

\[ CF = \frac{TAR(z', r'_d)}{TAR(z, r_d)} \]

Where

\[ z' = z_1 + \rho_e z_2 + z_3 \quad z = z_1 + z_2 + z_3 \]

- \( r_d \) is beam dimension at actual depth \( Z \)
- \( r'_d = r_d \times \rho \)

where

\[ \rho = \sum \sum \sum \rho_{ijk} \times W_{ijk} \]

- \( \rho_{ijk} \) is the relative electron density of the scattering element
- \( W_{ijk} \) is the weight factor assigned to these element and is a function of the distance and angle relative to the calculation point, and its photon fluence
- \( i, j, k \) corresponds to the x, y, z coordinate of the voxel. These are calculated using Compton scatter cross-sections and integration over entire volume
Corrections for tissue inhomogeneities

4. Isodose shift method
Isodose curves beyond the inhomogeneity are moved by an amount given by “n” times the thickness of the inhomogeneity
Towards the skin for high density material
Away from the skin for low density material

For 4 MV x-ray:
n = -0.6 for air cavity
n = -0.4 for lung
n = 0.5 / 0.25 for hard / spongy bone
Photon Algorithms: Summary

• Calculation algorithms are the mathematical equations used to compute dose within an irradiated volume.
• Algorithms model radiation transport.
• Algorithms differ in their calculation methods though their underlying principles are essentially the same.
• An understanding of their capabilities is necessary in order to recognize their limitations.